

FEC DISCLOSURE SERIES No. 6: 1976 Senatorial Campaigns

Receipts and Expenditures

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
4325 K St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463
Actil 1977

FEC DISCLOSURE SERIES

NO. 6: 1976 SENATORIAL CAMPAIGNS

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

Federal Election Commission 1325 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Commissioners

Vernon W. Thomson, Chairman Thomas E. Harris, Vice Chairman Joan D. Aikens William L. Springer Neil Staebler Robert O. Tiernan

Edmund L. Henshaw, Ex Officio
Clerk of the U.S. House of Representatives
J. S. Kimmitt, Ex Officio
Secretary of the U.S. Senate

Orlando B. Potter, Staff Director William Oldaker, General Counsel

Kent C. Cooper, Asst. Staff Director for Disclosure Sandy Kopp, Senate Branch Chief Paul Forlenza, Director, Systems Development

This report was prepared by the Disclosure Division with cooperation of Systems Development. Thanks is given to the entire Senate Team: Frieda Campbell, Walter J. Foley, Philip Jaffa, Bob Pease, and Suzanne Wilson. Special thanks to Caroline Jones, Ann Irvine, Neil Hurley, John Reynolds, Tom Roberts and Nancy Wyatt for their cooperation and help. Additional thanks to Marianne DuBois and Nancy Morretta for computer support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
CONTENTS	1
DEFINITIONS	2
SUMMARY STATISTICS	
Total Receipts and Expenditures	3
Receipts Adjusted	4
Political Committee Contributions	5
Expenditures Adjusted	6
GUIDE FOR USE OF THIS COMPUTER REPORT	7
ELECTION STATISTICS - RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES	8
FOOTNOTES	17

1976 SENATORIAL CAMPAIGNS

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

CONTENTS

This is the 6th report in the FEC Disclosure Series. It covers the financial activity of the 64 candidates for the United States Senate who appeared on the 1976 general election ballot. These candidates included 33 Democrats, 30 Republicans, and one Independent. The Report provides a comprehensive financial picture of each campaign, including sources of receipts, total adjusted receipts and expenditures, cash on hand and surplus/deficit positions. Also included is a chart showing total receipts and expenditures for all candidates, and charts showing the distribution of receipts and expenditures among candidates by party affiliation, incumbent or challenger status, and winners or losers.

This report covers all campaign finance activity during the period January 1, 1975 through December 31, 1976. The figures therefore represent a combination of primary and general election financing.³

Adjusted figures for receipts and expenditures are the best indication of income and costs for a campaign, as loan repayments, refunds, or other items which inflate the figures have been eliminated.

The Total Receipts Adjusted for the 1976 Senatorial campaigns were \$39,129,660. The Total Expenditures Adjusted were \$38,104,745.

The financial figures in this report are derived from the figures reported by the individual candidates, their principal campaign committees and all other authorized committees. The figures may be subject to adjustment following subsequent amendment or FEC audit.

This was the first campaign in which a candidate's principal campaign committee was required to file Consolidated Reports of Receipts and Expenditures (to include all authorized committees). As a result, many campaigns were not conversant with this procedure, and it was often difficult to derive a clear picture of overall costs from these consolidated reports. Therefore, the adjusted figures in this report were derived by review of each individual report filed and represent the best effort with the time and resources allocated. More detailed or itemized campaign finance data can be found in the actual disclosure reports filed.

All reports are available for public review and/or copying at the Federal Election Commission, "Provided, that any information copied from such reports and statements shall not be sold or utilized by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for any commercial purpose." (2 U.S.C. Section 438(a)(4))

While there were 33 races, the Democratic candidates in Mississippi and West Virginia were unopposed in the general election.

²In New York the Democratic candidate was also the nominee of the Liberal Party, and the Republican candidate the nominee of the Conservative Party.

³All 64 General Election candidates also appeared on the primary ballot in their respective states with the exception of Lawton Chiles of Florida.

DEFINITIONS

DIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS:

to and including \$100: Contributions reported in this amount, including total unitemized contributions.

01 - \$499: Contributions reported in this amount. This is a derived total, not a tabulated total.

60 and over: Total contributions reported in this amount. The number of contributions is also given for this category which could include more than one contribution from the same contributor.

ed as contributions from the candidates: This includes monies directly reported as contributions from the candidate and does not include loans.

DLITICAL COMMITTEE CONTRIBUTIONS:

irty - Total monies received from Party Related Political Committees.

on-Party - Total monies received from Non-Party Political Committees.

OANS:

andidate Loans - Loans from the candidate or endorsed by the candidate. This figure reflects the aggregate total of all loans received from the candidate and has not been adjusted for loan repayments. The computer printout also lists an amount repaid as 'RPD.'

ther Loans - This figure reflects the aggregate totals of all loans received from sources other than the candidate and has not been adjusted for loan repayments.

TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED:

Contributions received from individuals, contributions from political committees, loans, and miscellaneous income. It does not include refunds, rebates, returned contributions, loan repayments, treasury notes and certificates of deposit which have been bought and redeemed.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES ADJUSTED:

Total gross expenditures less refunds, rebates, returned contributions, loan repayments, transfers between affiliated committees or between the candidate and his/her committee(s), treasury notes and certificates of deposit which have been bought and redeemed.

Because this study is based solely on reports filed by a candidate and his/her authorized political committee(s), the Total Expenditures Adjusted do not include expenditures reported by the national political parties; persons making independent expenditures; communication costs of corporations, labor and membership organizations; trade associations. Office accounts which are clearly identified as non-campaign accounts and have not been included even though they are presently registered as political committees.

CASH ON HAND:

This figure is as reported on the Year End 1976 Report. In a number of instances, negative Cash on Hand was reported.

SURPLUS/DEFICIT:

This is a theoretical figure derived by subtracting the amount owed by the committee, or adding the amount owed to the committee, from the Cash on Hand figure which was reported on the Year End 1976 Report.

SUMMARY STATISTICS

TOTAL RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES


		\$349,436
CASH ON HAND 1/1/75	•	39,129,660
TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED		
CONTRIBUTIONS \$0 - \$100	\$	11,088,150
CONTRIBUTIONS \$101 - \$499		\$5,228,970
CONTRIBUTIONS \$500 AND OVER (Number of Contributions - 14,175)		\$10,592,867
		\$891,942
CANDIDATE CONTRIBUTIONS		\$1,399,329
PARTY COMMITTEES		\$5,798,251
NON-PARTY COMMITTEES		
CANDIDATES LOANS, AGGREGATE (\$3,660,260 outstanding as of 12/31/76)		\$4,275,952
OTHER LOANS, AGGREGATE (\$100,772 outstanding as of 12/31/76)		\$286,713
	•	\$364,119
OTHER INCOME		\$38,104,745
TOTAL EXPENDITURES ADJUSTED	•	,
CASH ON HAND 12/31/76		\$1,076,192
SURPLUS/DEFICIT	For those with Surpluses, the leftover funds total	\$754,354
	For those with Deficits, the debts total	\$4,592,499


Γŧ

RECEIPTS ADJUSTED

TOTAL

39,129,660 was received by 64 Senate candidates for their 976 campaigns.





POLITICAL COMMITTEE CONTRIBUTIONS

TOTALS

\$1,399,329 was received by 64 Senate candidates from Party Political Committees.


\$5,798,251 was received by 64 Senate candidates from Non-Party Political Committees.

DISTRIBUTION BY PARTY AFFILIATION

Republicans \$468,795 (33.9%) Republicans \$930,034 (66%) Republicans \$1,977,977 (34%) Independents \$93,268 (2%) Democrats \$3,727,006 (64%)


PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS \$1,399,329 NON-PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS \$5,798,251

DISTRIBUTION BY STATUS



PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS \$1,399,329 NON-PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS \$5,798,251

DISTRIBUTION BY WINNERS/LOSERS




PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS \$1,399,329 NON-PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS \$5,798,251

EXPENDITURES ADJUSTED

TOTAL

\$38,104,745 was expended by 64 Senate candidates for their 1976 campaigns.




GUIDE FOR USE OF THIS REPORT

SAMPLE PRINTOUT

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ELECTION STATISTICS - RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

COVERING 1/1/75 - 12/31/76



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ELECTION STATISTICS - RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

COVERING 1/1/75 - 12/31/76

					PROFEST	MR 111119	-1%/3i//0						
CVMP1DVJE	***** DOW RIZ ICL	CASII 1/1/75				######### ECEIFIG FARTY AND Z	********* NON-FTY AND X	LÖÄNG LO CAND OTI	ANS	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL _	CA911 12/31/76	********* SURPLUS/ DEFICII 12/31/76
*******	****	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	******	************	******	********	******	*******	*****	******	*******	*****	*******
RIZONA DECONCINI	bou	\$794	\$70570 13%	13x (249) 33x 480180 \$201515	\$27970 42		\$100433 16X	\$145000 55000RFD	\$ 0	\$598668	\$597405	●2057	-\$110535
STEIGER	RUL	‡ 0	4310629 44X	454775 401014 7% (126) 11%	\$0 0x	* . · •	\$104524 14X	1125000 35000kFD	\$0	1722691	\$679384	\$43307	~\$46673
TOTAL AZ			\$397199 302	\$134955 \$282529 102 21% (395)	∳27970 2X		,			\$1321359	\$1276789		
ALIFORNIA													
HAYAKAHA	RCH	\$2995	\$648079 53%	\$156359 \$230522 122 (329) 19X	∳500 0X			\$20000 20000RPD	\$0	\$1218485	\$1184624	*\$56955	-\$137
тиннеу	ptr	\$249022	\$277663 \$4X	\$243344 \$949633 12201611 493	∳o ox			\$212701 55000RPD	#2350	\$1903527	\$1940788	\$12939	-\$151190 ²
TOTAL CA			\$925742 29X	\$399703 \$1188155 12% 38% (1490)	6200 02			ě		\$3122012	\$3125612		
DNNECTICUI													
SCHAFFER	pci.	, (0	\$121063 38X	471804 474718 23% (109) 23%	\$0 02		\$20154 9%	‡0	\$8600	\$3123 9 4	\$306104	\$6209	\$6207
WETCKER	RIU	\$0	1171505 342	\$64632 \$174050 \$4\$ (000) \$4\$	\$1000 0x		152834 10X	\$0	∳o	\$500955	\$4807 0 9	\$20296	\$27642
TOTAL CT			\$292640 35%		\$1000 02					#813349	\$70401 3	•	
ELAVARE								, 1					
HOLONEY	DCL.	\$ 0	\$44219 21X	\$30163 \$37750 10X (60) 17X	\$ 0 0 X			\$1500	‡ 11000	\$211201	\$211258	\$21	-\$37310
BOLI	RIU	\$5457	\$6530 8 20х	\$54623 \$100259 \$72 (1201 \$1)				\$0	\$0	\$321292	\$322080	\$4669	\$4669
TOTAL DE	•		\$111527 20%				\$142075 26%				\$ 533339		
LORIDA													
CHILES	p1u	\$0	\$362477 100%			9 02			10	\$362477	\$362235	\$242	1242

*******	****	*****	******	******	******		*****	<u>********</u>	*******	*****	******	*******	*******	******
CANDIDATE	DOW RI/ ICL	CASH 1/1/75	0-100 AND %	101-499 AND X	500† # AND %	REI CAND AND.X	CEIFTS PARTY AND %	NON-FTY AND X		JANS THER	TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED		CASII 12/31/76	SURFLUS/ DEFICIT 12/31/76 *******
GRADY	RCL	\$ 0	\$208257 50%	\$51088 12%	\$93234 (122) 22%	\$0 02	\$23297 5%	\$31700 7%	\$0	\$ 0	\$408616	\$394574	\$13792	\$0
TOTAL FL			\$570734 74%	\$51888 &%	\$93234 122 (122)	\$0 0%	\$23287 3%	\$31700 4%			\$771093	\$756809		
WALL							,		•	,				
MATSUNAGA	EIDH	\$38327	\$198792 47%	\$58445 14%	\$51900 (71) 12%	\$0 0%	\$11510 2%	\$92983 22%	\$Q	\$ 0	\$416775	\$435130	\$20005	\$20005 ³
GUINN	ROL	*0	\$179371 42%		\$108180 n.45) 25%	\$0 02	\$27500 6%	\$53462 12%	\$15000 5000RPI	‡ 0	\$417652	\$415138	\$2514	-\$7486
TOTAL HI			\$378163 45%	11%	\$140080 19% (214)	0% \$0	\$39010 42	\$146445 17%			\$834427	\$850268		
DIANA														
HARTKE	DIL	\$0	\$137135 20%		\$109506 (199) 14%	\$0 02	\$12550 12	\$275085 41%	\$0	\$25000 ⁴	\$662389	\$454279	\$8110	-\$41036 ⁵
LUGAR	RCW	\$0	\$283780 38%		\$218700 (314) 29%	\$0 0%	\$16250 2%	\$145366 19%	\$0	\$0	\$742736	\$727720	\$15210	\$15210
TOTAL IN			\$420915 29%	\$196504 13%	,	\$0 0%	\$28800 2%	• •			\$1405125	\$1381999		
TINE									4					٠,
монка	RCL	\$0	\$21276 32	\$7437 1%	\$33305 (46) 5%	\$530398 87%	\$10410 12	\$25 0%	\$0	\$0	\$602851	\$598490	\$4533	-\$9454
MUSKIE	DIW	\$0	\$48840 21%	\$52111 16%	\$100065 (146) 30%	\$0 0%	\$10000 3%	\$71888 28%	\$ 0	\$18000	\$322964	\$320427	\$2537	-\$4588
TOTAL HE			\$90116 9%	\$59548 6%		\$530398 57%	\$20410 2%	\$91913 92			\$925015	\$918917		

********	*****	******	*****	******	*****	******	******	******	********	****	******	*******	*****	*****
***********	DOW RI/ ICL	CASH 1/1/75	0-100 AND 2	101-499 AND %	500+ + AND 2	RE CAND AND %	CEIPTS PARTY AND Z	NON-PTY AND Z	LOANS LOA CAND OTH	NS ER	TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED	TOTAL EXPEND	CASH 12/31/76	SURPLUS/ DEFICIT 12/31/76 ******
RYLAND							****	<i>i</i>	**************************************	****				
BEALL	RIL	\$0	\$183250 31%	••	\$145505 (202) 25%	\$0 0%	, \$21610 3%	\$90825 152	\$0	\$0	\$578299	\$572016	\$6283	\$6283
SARDANES	DCM	\$0	\$300844 33%		\$224363 (315) 25%	\$0 0%	\$14712 1%	\$142850 16%	\$25000 5500RPD	\$ Ω	\$892300	\$891533	\$767	-\$57577
TOTAL MD			\$484094 32%	22%	\$349848 25% (517)	\$0 0%	\$36322 2%	\$233675 15%			\$1470599	\$1463549		
SSACHUSETTS			4.	. •						٠				
KENNEDY	DIM	\$1	\$299136 30%		\$453100 (600) 46%	. \$0 0%	\$11150 1%	\$104302 10%	\$0	\$ 0	\$975601	\$896196	\$79515	\$79515
RODERTSON	RCL	\$ 0	\$47901 28%	\$27866 16%	\$30589 (44) 18%	\$41500 24%	\$20067 11%	\$1800 12	\$0	\$0	\$169724	\$168854	\$770	-\$14970
TOTAL MA			\$347037 302	\$110512 9%		\$41500 3%	\$31217 2%	\$106102 9%			\$1145325	\$1065050		
<u>ICHIGAN</u>	•		•						•				•	
ESCH	ROL	\$0	\$249660 28%	\$107297 12%	\$243355 (325) 28%	\$0 0%	\$135673 152	\$128773 14X	\$Q	\$ 0	\$864759	\$809564	\$5 5195	\$55195
RIEGLE	pou	\$0	\$262952 30%		\$270993 (395) 31%	\$1204 0%	\$20852 32	\$108710 22%	\$5000 5000RPD	\$0	\$849684	\$795821	\$12686	-\$19717
TOTAL HI			\$512612 29%	11%	\$514348 30% (720)	\$1206 0%	\$164525 9%	\$317483 18%			\$1714443	\$1605385		`.,
INNESOTA					٠.	•	•							
DREKKE	RCL	\$0	\$14571 31%	\$1450 3%	\$12144 (15) 26%	\$1990 4%	\$15120 332		\$0	\$0	\$45775	\$43912	\$1863	\$1963
HUMPHREY	nin	\$0	\$159700 242		\$188846 (241) 28%	\$0 0%	\$5718 0%		\$ 0	\$0	\$664567 ¹	\$418878	\$46747	\$46747
TOTAL MN		•	\$174271 24%	20%	\$200990 20%	\$1990 0%	\$20 838 2%	24%		•	\$710342	\$442790	ı	
				* * * * *		•••								

CANDIDATE *********	***** DOW RI/	******* CASH 1/1/75	1	******** 101-499	********* 5001	K****** RE CAND	******* CEIPTS PARTY	********* NON-PTY	******** LOANS	******* LOANS	******** TOTAL RECEIPTS	******* TOTAL EXPEND	******* CASH 12/31/76	******** SURPLUS/ DEFICIT
*********	ICL *****	******	AND 2	AND % *******	# AND % ********	AND % ******	AND Z	AND Z *******		OTHER ******	ADJUSTED	IBTRULDA ******	*****	12/31/76
1881881PP1			•					•					•	
STENNIS	DIW	\$0	\$95805 80%	\$11887 9%	\$9700 (15) 8%	\$0 0%	\$0 0%	\$2380 1%	\$10000 10000RF	\$0 PD	\$119852	\$119852	\$0	\$0
TUTAL MS			\$9588 5 80%	\$11007 9%	\$9700 8% (15)	\$0 02	\$0 0%	\$2380 1%	*		\$119852	\$119852		
ISSOURI	• .									,	•	•		•
DANFORTH	ROW	*0	\$142540 19%		\$391712 (493) 52%	\$35000 4%	\$25135 3%	\$71106 9%	\$9	\$35800	\$748115 ⁹	\$741465	\$6037	\$6037
HEARNES	DOL	\$0	\$136136 20%		\$166675 (228) 2 5%	\$28128 4%	\$16600 2%	\$44013 6%	\$25000 15000RF	ф \$0	\$462737 ¹¹	\$660953	\$1705	-\$8215
TOTAL MO	*}		\$278676 19%	\$218916 15%	\$558387 39% (721)	\$63128 4%	\$41735 2%	\$115119 82			\$1410852	\$1402418		
ANATHO				,										
BURGER	ROL	\$0	\$450789 79%	\$20867 3%	\$18460 (28) 3%	\$0 0%	\$9576 1%	\$41452 10%	\$1000	\$34350	\$ 578826	\$563543	\$15293	-\$40269
MELCHER	ÞOW	\$0	\$8714B 27%	\$23322 7%	\$45400 (60) 14%	\$0 0%	\$22713 7%	\$143535 44%	\$Q	\$0	\$ 321596	\$311101 ¹¹	\$3330	\$3330
TOTAL HT			\$545957 60%	\$44189 4%	\$43840 7% (88)	\$0 02	\$32289 32	\$205187 22%	•		\$900422	\$874644		
EBRASKA					,							#/·		
MCCOLLISTER	ROL	\$0	\$173277 44%	\$39335 201	\$36821 (55) 9%	. \$0 0%	\$28773 7%	\$113083 28%	\$ Q	\$0	\$391289	\$391287	\$2	-\$2498
ZORINSKY	tion.	\$ 0	\$84898 35%	\$31560 13%	\$31260 (45) 12%	\$0 0%	\$22159 92	\$52963 21%	\$5300 300R	\$20556 PD	\$240904	\$237613	\$3291	-\$14765
TOTAL NE			\$258175 40%	\$70903 11%		\$0 02	\$50932 8%	\$166046 26%			\$632193	\$428900	,	
EVADA .				•	(100)						•			•
CANNON	DIW	\$0	\$75444 ; 17%		\$155300 (71) 36%	\$0 0%	\$9512 2%	\$85442 20%	\$0	\$0	\$422203	\$405380	\$16125	\$16125
TOWELL	RCL	\$0	\$18533 33%	\$5325 9%	\$8500 (12) 15%	\$0 0%	\$18981 34%	002E¢ Xa	\$0	\$0	\$54842	\$54842	\$0	\$0
TOTAL NO			\$93977 19%	\$77646 16%	\$163000 34%	\$0 0%	\$284 93 5%	\$88942 182	·		\$477045	\$460222		

						may provide	u ., ., , u	, _ ,				•		
**************************************	***** DOW RI/ ICL	******* CASH 1/1/75	0-100 AND Z	********* 101–499 AND 2	******** 500+ 4 AND %	RE CAND AND 2	******** CEIPTS PARTY AND Z	********* NON-FTY AND Z		****** DANS THER	******* TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED	******* TOTAL EXPEND ADJUSTEI	******* CASH 12/31/76	********* SURPLUS/ DEFICIT 12/31/76
***************	****	*****	*****	*****	*********	*****	*****	******	*****	*****	*****	********	*******	*****
NORCROSS	RCL	\$0	\$32405 44%	\$16425 22%	\$8700 (12) 11%	\$0 0%	\$16093 21%	\$0 02	\$0	\$0	\$74023	\$73499	\$524	\$524
WILLIAMS	hid	\$17197	\$72788 10%	\$165435 23%	\$179600 (243) 25%	\$0 02	\$4069 0%	\$248390 38%	\$Q	\$0	\$4907#1	\$610090 ¹	\$02889	\$02009
TOTAL NJ			\$105393 13%	232		\$0 0%	\$20162 2%	\$248390 35%		i	\$764804	\$483589		•
NEW MEXICO								٠.	٠.					
монточа	pir	\$0	\$147590 31X		\$101350 (142) 21%	\$0 0%	\$11308 22	\$120052 26%	\$ 0	\$ Q	\$461505	¹³ \$451111	\$10392	\$10392
SCHHITT	RCW	\$0	\$208342 44%		\$87550 (119) 18%	\$1930 0%	\$25720 52		\$85355 85355RPI	\$0	\$473336	\$441309	\$32027	-\$21885 ¹⁴
TOTAL NH			\$355932 382	142		\$1930 02	\$37028 32				\$934841	\$8 9242 0		
NEW YORK		·												•
BUCKLEY	BIL	\$22784	\$1104152 52X		\$593771 (757) 28%	\$101 02	\$30900 1%		\$0	\$0	\$2090126	\$2101424 ¹	\$1148 6	-\$39521
ночитным	, DCM	\$0	\$275553 22%		\$538138 (703) 44%	\$0 0%	\$22530 1X		\$50000	\$14000	\$1219740	\$1210796	\$8943	-\$130251
TOTAL NY			\$1379705 41%	112	\$1131909 342 (1460)	\$101 0%	\$53430 1%				\$3309866	\$3312220		
NORTH DAKOTA						•			•				,	
BURDICK	piw	\$67	\$32940 26%			\$0 0 %	\$10000 B%		\$0	\$0	\$122605	\$117514	• 515 0	\$5158
аткоир	RCL	\$0	\$31283 21%		\$15515 (21), 10%	\$1,2364 8%	\$10187 7%		\$38000 10000RP	\$32310 D	\$142774	\$136748	\$6026	-\$44284
TOTAL ND			\$64243 24%		\$20015 7% (29)		\$20187 7%				\$265379	\$254262		
OHIO										•••	. *			
метхенваци	DCW	\$0	\$150190 13%	\$147252 15%	\$550939 (705) 50%	\$67000 6%	\$14503 12	\$125448 112	\$50000 29000RF	\$ Q	\$1097337	\$1092053	\$5527	-\$17962 ¹⁸

********* ANDIDATE	***** DOW RI/ ICL	****** CASH 1/1/75		********* 101-499 AND Z	500† 4 AND 2		******* CEIPTS PARTY AND Z	******** YT9-NON X UNA		***** ANS HER	******* TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED	******* TOTAL DNAPXA TOTAULGE	CASH 12/31/76	******** SURPLUS/ DEFICIT 12/31/76
**********	RIL	****** \$0	\$427366	*******					*********	****	* ******	•	******** \$24076	******** \$24076 ¹⁹
			32%		(591) 32%	0%	2%	14%	, ,					
FOTAL OH			\$577554 23%	167	\$977912 40% 1296)	\$71667 2%	\$47773 12	\$316321 13%			\$2425620	\$2396260		•
INSYLVANIA					•				, ,					•
BREEN	pot	\$ 0	\$310240 24%		\$410165 (583) 32%	\$750 0%	\$18755 1%	\$256297 20%			\$1,266,375	\$1269409	-\$3034 ²⁶	-\$54020
HEINZ	ROW	\$ 965	\$171786 5%		\$2153 <u>93</u> (302) 7%	\$1410 02	\$22950 0%	\$51987	\$2465500	\$0 0%	\$3016 73 1	\$3004814	\$12001 -	·\$2553769
TOTAL PA			\$482026 11%	7%	\$625548 142 (885)	\$2140 0%	\$41705 0%			\$0 02	\$4283106	\$4274223		
ODE ISLAND				•		•								
CHAFEE	:ROW	\$8048	\$55231 13%		\$104300 (145) 25%	\$0 02	\$41109 9%	\$57984 13%	\$50000 50000RPD	\$0	\$424463	\$415651	\$17640	-\$14840
LORDER	bor	\$0	\$33254 4%	\$8800 1%	\$16272 (24) 2X	\$111500 142	\$5000 0%	\$38100 4%		\$1000	\$782663	\$782931	-\$260	-\$4018 ²¹
LOLVE KI			\$88405 7%	12%	\$122572 10% (149)	\$111500 92	\$46109 32	\$96084 72			\$1207126	\$1190582	•	
ENNESSEE	•											•		-
BROCK	RIL	* 0	\$484290 36%		\$411291 (574) 31%	\$0 0%	\$41550 3%	\$146252 11%		\$0	\$1313503	\$1301033	\$12470	-\$112037
Sasser	pcw	\$0	\$116328 13%		\$295333 (398) 35%	\$10525 1%	\$120288 14%	\$119409 14%	7 '	\$5000	\$841644	\$ 8393 79	\$2264	-\$22230
TOTAL TN			\$600618 27%	182	\$704424 32% (972)	\$10525 0%	\$141844 72				\$2155147	\$2140412		
EXAS							ų.							
DENTSEN	nta	\$0	\$145372 11%		\$576719 (785) 45%	\$13000 12	\$10075 0%			\$1550	\$1277364	\$1237910 ³	\$5269	\$4269
STEELMAN	RCL	\$0	\$205757 30%	\$125171 18%	\$259127 (370) 38%	\$0 0%	\$40020 5%			\$0	\$667214	\$445058	\$2156	\$2156

CANDIDATE ##########	DOW RI/ ICL	****** CASH 1/1/75	0-100 AND 2	101-499 AND 2	******** 500+ # AND %		******** CEIPTS PARTY AND %	******** YT9-HDN X DNA		***** ANS HER	******* TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED		****** CASH 2/31/76	******* SURPLUS/ DEFICIT 12/31/76
**************************************	·‡****	******	\$351129 \$351129 18%	\$419972 21%	******** \$835846 42% (155)	\$13000 02	\$50095 22		*******	******	\$1944578	\$190296B	********	******
HATH													•	
HATCH	RCW	\$ 0	\$158250 40%	\$38102 9%	\$54218 (70) 13%	\$0 02	\$24500 62		\$13000 5000RPD		\$393278	\$370517	\$22761	-\$13167
Moss	pir	\$0	\$51976 14%	\$49570 19%	\$52689 (80) 14%	\$300 X0	\$18500 5x	•	\$0	\$0	\$365187	\$343598	\$21589	\$21589 ²³
TOTAL UT			\$210226 27%	\$107672 14%	\$106907 14X (150)	\$300 0%	\$43000 5%				\$758465	\$714115		
VERHONT												•		
SALMON	tıci	\$0	\$62944 36%	\$3646 8 21%	\$32250 (46) 19%	\$0 02	\$10880 62	,	\$7000	\$22000	\$170156	\$169296	\$840	-\$11427
STAFFORD	RIW	\$0	4306E¢ 192	\$12460 7%	\$11000 (22) 6%	\$703 0%	\$27500 14%	, ,	\$0	\$0	\$167469	\$157927	\$954 2	\$9542
TOTAL VI			\$94008 28%	,	\$43250 12% (68)	\$703 02	\$38380 112				\$337 62 5	\$327223	٠.	·
VIRGINA				•					,				•	
BYRD, H.	IIM	\$1507	\$386419 47%		\$214375 (294) 26%	\$0 0%	\$500 02	1	\$0	\$6845	\$809346 ²	⁴ \$802928	\$7925	\$1280
ZUMWALT	ÞCL	\$0	\$116154 25%		\$147586 (200) 32%	\$0 0%	\$12983 2%		\$5849	\$2477	\$450229	\$443107	\$7121	-\$14624
TOTAL VA			\$502573 392	\$165805 132	\$361961 202 (496)	\$0 0%	\$13483 ‡2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$1259575	\$1246035		``.
WASHINGTON					•			:						
BROWN	RCL	* \$0	\$5728 52%	,	(o) 0x	\$0 0%			\$5250 407RPD	\$0 	\$10841	\$10841	\$0	-\$4763
JACKSON	pin	\$0	\$4672 9 29%	,	\$105500 (180) 47%	\$0 0%	\$5000 22	,	‡0	\$0	\$223322	\$198375	\$24947	\$24947

**************************************	***** DON RI/ ICL ****	****** CASH 1/1/75 ******	0-100 AND Z ******	101-479 AND Z	********* 500† 4 AND 2 *******		******** CEIFTS PARTY AND Z *******	******** NON-PTY AND 2 ******		****** DANS THER *****	******* TOTAL RECEIPTS ADJUSTED ******		******* CASH 12/31/76	********** 8URPLU8/ BEFICIT 12/31/76 ******
TOTAL WA			\$72457 302	\$33942 14X	\$105500 45% (180)	\$0 02	\$5000 2%	\$12500 5%			\$234163	\$209216		
18C0N81N				•	* •						•			•
PROXHIRE	ptw	\$672	\$25 \$00%	\$0 02	(o) ox	\$0 0%	\$0 02	\$0 0%	\$Q	\$0	\$25	∮697	\$0	\$0
YORK	RCL	\$0	\$24237 36%	£8001‡ 15%	\$4549 (9) 6%	\$0 0%	\$25071 372	\$2401 3X	\$0	\$2000	\$66321	\$62210	\$4111	\$4111
LOTAL MI	÷		\$24262 36%	\$10063 15%	\$4549 62 (9)	\$0 02	\$25071 37%	\$2401 32			\$66346	\$62907		
EST VIRGINA		•	÷								•			
DYRD, R.	DIW	\$B00	\$48454 25%	\$21633 7%	\$36000 (47) 13%	\$0 0X	\$500 02	\$64240 23%	40	\$0	\$271,124 ²¹	\$94335	\$160389	\$160389
TOTAL WV			\$68654 252	\$21633 7%	\$36000 13X (47)	\$0 0%	\$500 0%	\$64240 23%			\$271124	\$94335		
YOMING				•						10	33 P.P.			
HCGEE	DIL	\$0	\$43545 212	\$48400 16%	\$78950 (107) 26%	0% \$0	\$10500 3%	\$98494 32%	•0	\$0	\$299908	\$181028 ²	3 113880	\$113880
WALFOR.	RCIJ	\$0	\$47590 22x	\$19197 5%	\$52250 (67) 17%	\$0 0%	\$83832 27%	\$51560 16%	\$31760	\$24675	1305161	6301595	\$3566	-\$33194
TOTAL WY			\$131155 21X	\$66597 11%		\$0 9%	\$94332 15%	\$150054 24%			\$605069	\$402623		
				•	1									
GRAND TOTAL		\$ EL , P E E	\$11,000,150 20x	\$5220970 13x		\$891942 2X	\$4,399,329 3x	+379Q251 14x	94275752 4294728F8	6284713 	138138440	638/04745	\$1,076,192	•

FOR THOSE WITH SURPLUSES. THE LEFTOVER FUNDS TOTAL \$754,354

FOR THUSE UITH DEFICIT**S.**THE DEBTH TOTAL CA 507 A99

FOOTNOTES

- 1. Steiger (Arizona). Receipts include: a transfer of \$69,257 from the 1976 Steiger for Congress Committee.
- 2. Tunney (California). Surplus does not include activity of 1970 Tunney for Senate Committee, nor its reported debt of \$104,450.
- 3. Matsunaga (Hawaii). Reports when amended may change the Surplus/Deficit figure.
- 4. Hartke (Indiana). \$25,000 bank loan received was guaranteed by the committee.
- 5. Hartke (Indiana). All figures do not include activity of Volunteers for Hartke, a constituent service account.
- 6. Esche (Michigan). Party contributions include a \$95,231 contribution-in-kind from the Michigan Republican State Committee.
- 7. Riegle (Michigan). There are accounting discrepancies which make final figures subject to amendment.
- 8. Humphrey (Minnesota). Receipts include a \$5,719 transfer from the 1970 Committee for the Re-election of Hubert Humphrey.
- 9. Danforth (Missouri). Receipts do not include pledges which were reported as committee receipts.
- 10. Hearnes (Missouri). Receipts include a \$125,000 transfer from his gubernatorial committee.
- 11. Melcher (Montana). Expenditures do not include \$6,043 in transfers to his office account and \$1,122 in contributions to various local party committees.
- 12. Williams (New Jersey). Expenditures do not include a \$15,000 contribution to the New Jersey State Democratic Committee.
- 13. Montoya (New Mexico). Receipts include \$32,246 from the 1970 Montoya for Senator Club.
- 14. Schmitt (New Mexico). Reports filed with overlapping coverage dates account for apparent discrepancies in reported figures.
- 15. Buckley (New York). Expenditures do not include \$14,000 in repayments of loans
- 16. Burdick (North Dakota). Receipts include a \$10,500 transfer from the Burdick Campaign Fund.
- 17. Stroup (North Dakota). Reports when amended may include additional debts.
- 18. Metzenbaum (Ohio). Deficit does not include activity of the 1974 Metzenbaum Post Campaign Committee, nor its reported debt of \$73,606.
- 19. Taft (Ohio). Surplus does not include activity of the Taft '71 Committee, nor its reported debt of \$559,218.
- 20. Green (Pennsylvania). Reports when amended will reflect this figure.
- 21. Lorber (Rhode Island). Deficit does not include \$569,737 in outstanding loans by candidate that was not reported on Year End Report as a debt.
- 22. Bentsen (Texas). Expenditures do not include \$34,184 transfer to his office account.
- 23. Moss (Utah). Surplus does not reflect a \$5,000 loan, as a debt owed to the committee.
- 24. Byrd (Virginia). Receipt and Expenditure totals are unverified as there were over 20 authorized committees, many of which did not report summary totals.
- 25. Byrd (West Virginia). Receipts include a \$76,070 transfer in from the Byrd for President Committee.
- 26. Byrd (West Virginia). Expenditures do not include \$17,200 in contributions to various congressional, state and local candidates and/or committees.
- 27. McGee (Wyoming). Expenditures do not include a \$5,000 contribution to the Wyoming Democratic State Committee.